SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 PUBLIC LAW 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION FOR 2003 FUNDS ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. Project Name:	2. County:				
3. Sponsoring Organization:	4. Date:				
5. Sponsor's Phone Number:					
6. Sponsor's E-mail:					
7. Project Location (attach project area map)					
a. Description of Location: (See attached map for more details)					
b. Sub Basin Name (4 th Field Watershed; e.g. North Umpqua):					
c. Watershed Name (5 th Field Watershed; e.g. Little River):					
d. Legal Location: Township Range Section(s)					
e. BLM District e. BLM Resource Area					
f. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes No					
8. Project Goals and Objectives: (Describe the goals and objectives of the benefit from the project)	project. If applicable list species that will				
9. Project Description: (Describe how the project will be conducted and how in	its goals and objectives will be met.)				
10. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?					
11. How is this project in the best public interest and how will it benefit communities?					
12. Who will accomplish the project?					
Contractor Federal W	orkforce				
County Workforce Volunteers					
Other (specify):					
13. Is this project coordinated with other related project(s) on	adjacent lands?				
a. Yes No (If yes, then describe)					
b. Are you seeking funds from other Resource Advisory Committees? Yes No (If yes, then					
describe)					
14. If the project is on private land how does it benefit federal lands or resources?					

03/20/03 Page 1 of 4

SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 PUBLIC LAW 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION FOR 2003 FUNDS ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

15. Measure of Project Accomplishments							
a. Total Acres:	b. Total Miles:						
c. Number of Structures:	d. Estimated Number of People Reached						
e. Number of Laborer Days:	(for environmental education and workforce training						
	projects):						
f. Other (specify):							
g. Describe how long will the benefits of the project last:							
16. Will the project generate merchantable materials?							
Yes No If yes, describe:							
17. How does the proposed project meet purpose	es of the legislation? (Check at least one)						
Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.							
Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems.							
Restores and improves land health.							
Restores water quality.							
10 Duciacó Tema (Cl. 1. 1. 1.							
18. Project Type (Check at least one) Road Maintenance	Trail Maintenance						
Road Decommission/Obliteration	Trail Obliteration						
	Trail Contention						
Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):Soil Productivity Improvement	Forest Health Improvement						
Watershed Restoration & Maintenance	Wildlife Habitat Restoration						
Fish Habitat Restoration	Control of Noxious Weeds						
Reestablish Native Species							
Other Project Type (specify):							
outer rioject Type (speeny).							
19. Project Initiation and Estimated Completion	Dates: (Describe the timing of the major phases of the project)						
	wood (- wood						
20. Status of Project Planning							
a. NEPA process complete:	☐ Yes ☐ No						
If no, give est. date of completion:							
c. NMFS consultation complete:	Yes No						
d. USFWS consultation complete:	☐ Yes ☐ No						
e. Survey & Manage complete:	Yes No Not Applicable						
f. DSL/ODFW* permits for in-stream work obtained:	Yes No Not Applicable						
g. DSL/COE* 404 fill/removal permit obtained:	Yes No Not Applicable						
h. SHPO* concurrence received:	Yes No Not Applicable						
i. Project design(s) completed:							
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer							

03/20/03 Page 2 of 4

SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 Public Law 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION FOR 2003 FUNDS ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

21. Anticipated Project Costs						
a. Total fiscal year 2003 Title II funds requested (to be expended beginning in FY 2004):						
b. Is this a multi-year project? Yes No						
Display estimated expenditures by fiscal year below (The federal fiscal year begins October 1):						
d. FY 2004 expenditures:	f	FY 2006 expenditu	res:			
e. FY 2005 expenditures:	g. FY 2007 expenditures:					
Table 1. Project Cost Analysis (Includes all expenditures for the life of the project)						
Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Requested County Title II Contribution	Other Contributions	Total Available Funds		
Field Work & Site Surveys						
NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation						
Permit Acquisition						
Project Design & Engineering						
Contract Preparation						
Contract Administration						
Contract Cost						
Workforce Cost						
Materials & Supplies						
Monitoring						
Other						
Total Cost Estimate						
22. Identify source(s) of additional funding for project identified above or clarify other aspects of the budget:						
22 M 1/2 DI						
23. Monitoring Plan What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets						
a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?						
b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well it contributes to local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?						

03/20/03 Page 3 of 4

SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 PUBLIC LAW 106-393 TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION FOR 2003 FUNDS ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- **c.** What methods will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
- **24.** What are the analyses, plans, legislation, or other supporting documents that support and guide this application? (E.g. the Northwest Forest Plan, a watershed analysis, a late successional reserve assessment, or the Oregon Plan for Salmon.)
- 25. Who are the key people responsible for this project? (List their names and titles)
- **26.** Attach a map and photograph(s) of the project (At a minimum, the map should show the project location, roads, and streams, and private versus BLM ownership. The photograph should show the project site or a representative portion of it. A digital photograph in .jpg format is preferred, but a hard copy will suffice.

03/20/03 Page 4 of 4